# Lab: Go Fish <br> (modified from Life on an Ocean Planet) <br> (MAKEUP VERSION) 

## PART ONE: Fishing for the Future

## Objective:

Students will model several seasons of a fishery and explore how technology, population growth, and sustainable practices impact fish catch and fisheries management. Students will experience Tragedy of the Commons as it relates to fisheries management.

## What We Did in Class:



Students engaged in a competition to capture fish (m\&m's) from a common ocean using fishing rods (straws). Those who caught the most fish were rewarded with better fishing technology, making it easier for them in the next round. For most groups, the number of fish declined dramatically. For some, the ocean was completely depleted of fish. This demonstrated the Tragedy of the Commons: The depletion or degradation of a potentially renewable resource to which people have free and unmanaged access.

Watch the video located at https://youtu.be/CxC161GvMPc and answer the following questions.

## Analysis Questions Part One

1. How many fish should each villager catch per day to maximize food?
2. What happens if even one villager takes more than the ideal amount?
3. The concept of Tragedy of the Commons was first discussed around which topic?
4. Discuss short term versus long term goals and consequences for individuals and the group.
5. Give three examples of Tragedy of the Commons other than fishing.
6. How might Tragedy of the Commons impact your life?
7. Interpret the quote "What's good for all of us is good for each of us".

## PART TWO: Commercial Catch \& Aquaculture

Background: Since the early 1600s, countries have been indiscriminately harvesting ocean resources without thinking ahead to the health of the resource. This led to a Tragedy of the Commons, which is defined as the depletion or degradation of a potentially renewable resource to which people have free and unmanaged access. The establishment of resource jurisdictions with the Law of the Sea Treaty (1982) changed the face of ocean management forever. This agreement established an exclusive economic zone and territorial waters for each country with a coastline. It also has limited protections for international waters. Countries now had a vested interest as to what was happening under the waves. They could no longer fish and fish until the resource was wiped out because there would be no other place to go. Countries now had to manage their resources more wisely. Marine resource management programs are set up in coastal areas to guide industry into its new role of ownership. At first, the research community and the fisheries industry did not get along with one another. Industries believed it was the researchers versus business. This attitude makes it difficult to obtain accurate data from the fishing fleets. Good management recommendations came slowly. During the early 1990s this "research versus industry" attitude began to change. The fisheries industry realized that to maintain a productive harvest, they needed the advice of the fisheries scientists. At the same time the scientists realized that they would need to be sensitive to the fishing industry's concerns and interests to obtain the data that was needed to make valid recommendations. Scientists began to interpret data to yield practical applications. In the United States, the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (2007) regulates the fisheries industry. While there are some concerns with the law, the U.S. is generally considered to have a better-than-average management program. The degree of management around the world varies greatly from country to country. It is therefore very important to know where you seafood comes from in order to sustainably manage the populations of ocean species.

## Analysis Questions Part Two

8. From the background information, what happened in the 1980s that significantly impacted ocean exploitation?
9. Regulation of the fisheries industry varies greatly from country to country, but is relatively strong in the U.S. due to what law? 10. Why is it important to sustainably manage commercial catch of ocean species?

DATA: *from Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (includes rounded totals)

| Table 1: World Aquaculture \& Commercial Catches, 2000-2020 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Total <br> Aquaculture <br> (in metric tons) | Total Commercial Catch <br> (in metric tons) | Total Seafood <br> Production <br> (in metric tons) |
| 2000 | $43,010,000$ | $94,440,000$ | $137,450,000$ |
| 2001 | $45,560,000$ | $91,690,000$ | $137,240,000$ |
| 2002 | $48,670,000$ | $92,050,000$ | $140,730,000$ |
| 2003 | $51,530,000$ | $89,290,000$ | $140,820,000$ |
| 2004 | $55,810,000$ | $93,960,000$ | $149,780,000$ |
| 2005 | $59,160,000$ | $93,600,000$ | $152,750,000$ |
| 2006 | $62,950,000$ | $91,170,000$ | $154,120,000$ |
| 2007 | $66,320,000$ | $91,530,000$ | $157,850,000$ |
| 2008 | $70,220,000$ | $90,610,000$ | $160,820,000$ |
| 2009 | $73,850,000$ | $90,000,000$ | $163,840,000$ |
| 2010 | $77,990,000$ | $88,080,000$ | $166,080,000$ |
| 2011 | $81,620,000$ | $92,580,000$ | $174,200,000$ |
| 2012 | $88,180,000$ | $89,630,000$ | $177,810,000$ |
| 2013 | $94,950,000$ | $90,870,000$ | $185,820,000$ |
| 2014 | $99,630,000$ | $91,430,000$ | $191,050,000$ |
| 2015 | $104,000,000$ | $92,510,000$ | $196,520,000$ |
| 2016 | $108,220,000$ | $90,530,000$ | $198,740,000$ |
| 2017 | $112,240,000$ | $94,390,000$ | $206,630,000$ |
| 2018 | $115,910,000$ | $97,330,000$ | $213,240,000$ |
| 2019 | $119,800,000$ | $93,190,000$ | $212,990,000$ |
| 2020 | $122,580,000$ | $91,340,000$ | $213,920,000$ |

Table 2: Total Seafood Production by Country, 2020

| Country | Total Catch \& Aquaculture (in metric tons) |
| :---: | :---: |
| China | $62,240,000$ |
| Indonesia | $13,430,000$ |
| India | $13,250,000$ |
| United States | $5,350,000$ |
| Peru | $4,970,000$ |



## Analysis Questions Part Two continued

11. Based on TABLE 1, describe the trends in aquaculture harvests from 2000-2020.
12. Describe the trends in commercial catch from 2000-2020.
13. Propose an explanation for the changes in commercial catch that happen from year to year.
14. The size of the global fishing fleet has decreased slightly since 2000 while commercial catch has remained relatively stable. Why?
15. Based on TABLE 2, what country produces the greatest amount of seafood?
16. Calculate the total seafood production per capita of China (2020 population: 1.4 billion) and the United States (2020 population: 330 million).
17. Based on the IMAGE above right, describe the relationship between fishing pressure and fish biomass.
18. Assess the statement from the upper left corner: "Those who consider fish not as a resource but as a wild animal population would consider this as the optimal sustainable level." Is this a reasonable point of view? Why or why not?
19. Define Maximum Sustainable Yield.
20. The CHART to the right shows sustainable and unsustainable seafood options for the southeast U.S., including North Carolina. Notice that Albacore Tuna shows up in all three columns. What is the difference between the three examples?
21. How should consumers know whether the seafood they are considering eating was caught sustainably?
22. How should consumers know where their seafood is coming from or how it is caught?
23. Are your answers to \#21 and \#22 reasonable? Why or why not?

| Bass (US farmed) <br> Catfish (US) <br> Clams (farmed) <br> Cod: Pacific (Alaska) Crab: Blue (Maryland trotline) Crawfish (US farmed) Lionfish (US) Mullet: Striped (US) Mussels (farmed) Oysters (farmed) Salmon (New Zealand) Shrimp (US farmed) Snapper: Mutton (US diving, handlines) Sturgeon (US farmed) Swordfish (handlines, harpoons: US buoy gear) rilapia (Canada, Ecuador, Peru, US) Tilefish: Blue (Atlantic) Trout (US farmed) Tuna: Albacore (trolls, pole \& lines) Tuna Sopjack (Pacific trols, pole \& ines) Wahoo (US Atlantic pole \& lines) Wreckfish |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| GOOD AL TERNA TIVES |
| :--- |
| Clams (US, Canada wild) |
| Cod: Atlantic (handlines, pole \& lines) |
| Conch (US) |
| Crawfish (Louisiana wild) |
| Crab: Blue (Alabama, Delaware, |
| Maryland, New Jersey pots) |
| Grouper: Red (US) |
| Lobster: Spiny (US) |
| Mahi-mahi (US) |
| Oysters (US wiid) |
| Pompano (US) |
| Salmon: Atlantic (Faroe Islands, |
| Maine farmed) |
| Shrimp (Canada \& US wild; Ecuador, |
| Honduras \& Thailand farmed) |
| Snapper (US) |
| Squid. Jumbo (Chile, China, Peru) |
| Swordfish (US, trolls) |
| Tilapia (Coiombia, Honduras, |
| Indonesia, Mexico. Taiwan) |
| Tilefish: Blue (Gulf of Mexico) |
| Trout (Canada farmed) |
| Tuna: Albacore (US longlines) |
| Tuna: Skipjack (free school, imported |
| troils, pole \& lines, US longlines) |
| Tunaa Yellowfin (free school, trolls, |
| pole \& lines, US longlines) |


| A V O I D |
| :--- |
| Branzino (Mediterranean farmed) |
| Cod: Atlantic (gillnet, longline, trawl) |
| Conch (imported) |
| Crab (Asia) |
| Crab: Blue (other US sources) |
| Crabr Snow (Canada) |
| Crab: Stone (Florida) |
| Crawfish (China) |
| Lobster: American (Canada, US) |
| Lobster Spiny (Belize, Brazil, |
| Honduras, Nicaragua) |
| Mahi-mahi (imported) |
| Orange roughy |
| Salmon (Canada, Chile, Norway, |
| Scotland) |
| Shrimp (other imported sources) |
| Squid (Argentina, China, India, |
| Indonesia, Japan, Thailand) |
| Swordfish (imported longlines) |
| Tilapia (China) |
| Tuna: Albacore (imported except trolls, |
| pole \& lines) |
| Tuna Bluefin |
| Tuna Skipjack (imported purse seines, |
| Indian Ocean) |
| Tuna: Yellowfin, (imported longlines, |
| purse seines, Indian Ocean) |

24. The Marine Stewardship Council is an international non-profit that certifies seafood caught sustainably. If you see this label, you know you have made a good choice. Will you make good choices now?
25. What did you learn from this makeup lab?

